3

SINGLE BENCH

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 1347/2005

PETITIONER

Harinath Chandel, S/o. Heera Singh Chandel, Aged about 72 years, Retired Revenue Inspector, R/o. village Bijali Tahsil, Narayanpur, District Bastar (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENT

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Department of Revenue, D.K.S.Bhawan, Raipur(C G)

2. Accountant General, State of Chhattisgarh, Fafadih, Raipur (C.G.)

3. Collector, District Bastar, Bastar (C.G).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P. No. 1347/05... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	12/09/2005: - Mr. Vimlesh Bajpai learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, learned Panel Lawyer, for the State of Chhattisgarh/respondents 1 and 3. Mr. R.S. Baghel, learned counsel for respondent No.2. Mr. K.K. Biswas, Section Officer, Accountant General Office, Raipur is present in person. Heard. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to the respondents to pay GPF amount to the tune of Rs.72,891/- to the petitioner with interest @ 18% per annum from July 1991 till the payment is made. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was serving as a Revenue Inspector with the respondent/State and he has retired from service in the month of June 1991 as Revenue Inspector, Tahsil Kanker, district Bastar. Learned counsel further submits that the GPF amount has not been paid to the petitioner till date. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 has filed his reply and stated that respondent No.2 has issued certificate for payment of Rs.51,103/- with interest on 20/04/2005 as due from respondent No.2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner is satisfied with the said payment. The DPF amount is to be paid by the State Government. The State Government has calculated DPF	

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P. No. 1347/05... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	amount from the year 1965-66 up to the date of retirement, thereafter on the said amount interest @ 12% up to 1999-2000 and thereafter 11% for the period 2000-2001, 9.5% for the period 2001-2002, 9% for the period 2002-2003 and 8% for the period 2003-2005. A total sum of Rs.71,959/- has been paid on 11/05/2005. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the deduction to the tune of Rs.13,000/- has been made wrongly. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, respondents 1 and 3 are directed to consider the representation of the petitioner with regard to the deduction made by respondents 1 and 3. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of. Sd/- Satish K. Agnihotri Judge	

Brajesh

19.9.05

19.9.05